



**RFP 22-14  
STORMWATER SYSTEM ASSESSMENT**

**ADDENDUM #1**

**Submitted Questions**

- 1) Can proposers get a copy of the current Powder Springs GIS database for stormwater infrastructure?  
*Yes, the City has provided a link on the Bid Information page on the City's website.*
- 2) Can you provide your geodatabase of stormwater structures and conveyances within the city?  
*Yes, the City has provided a link on the Bid Information page on the City's website.*
- 3) In Scope 19 – What steps should be taken to alert property owners of the presence of inspectors?  
*For inspections on public easements, written notification provided a minimum of 48 hours prior to the planned inspection would be considered appropriate. For inspections on private property, the contractor should obtain permission from the resident/owner.*
- 4) Should the contractor provide cleaning for any assets prior to visual inspection?  
*Cleaning should be done if necessary to complete assessment of the asset.*
- 5) Will flow control be necessary for any assets prior to visual inspection?  
*It is anticipated that flow control will be necessary to enable inspection of some assets.*
- 6) Is the 0.1-foot accuracy required for all assets? Would the City consider sub-meter accuracy for non-critical assets such as inlets?  
*The City will accept sub-meter accuracy for data on ditches and for the location of inlets and catch basins.*

- 7) We intend on using online available topographic information to trace the public and private ditch conveyances. Is this acceptable for delivery or is the City needing surveyed ditches? If the City requires boots on the ground location, who is responsible for gaining access to the privately held ditches?

All assets must be inspected in person. Permission from private property owners must be obtained by the contractor. After reasonable attempts by the contractor to obtain permission for access to an asset, upon approval of the City it may be considered a Virtual Structure as described in Task #10.

- 8) How should the price be broken down?

The City anticipates a lump sum price for the assessment and deliverables, excluding any use of a mobile sewer camera. Pricing for use of a mobile sewer camera should be provided separately as a per-foot unit price.

- 9) Who is responsible for removal, repair, and replacement of solid concrete tops or any lids that are broken during the assessment?

The contractor is responsible for damage to structures. Locations where damage is anticipated should be brought to the City's attention in advance of any removal.

- 10) Will the consultant be responsible for data collection of private drainage structures and conveyances? Who will provide the required Notice of Intent to Enter for private properties when necessary, the Consultant or the City?

The City desires a complete inventory and assessment of the storm drainage system within its jurisdiction. The consultant will be responsible for obtaining permission to access private structures. See also responses to questions #2 and #6.

- 11) Are modifications to the general conditions acceptable?

The City will consider reasonable modifications to the conditions in RFP 22-14. Proposers should identify any exceptions to the terms of the RFP in their proposal.

- 12) Regarding mapping of storm pipes, what attribute information exists in the current database (e.g. pipe size, material, sediment, corrosion, etc.)? Will all fields need to be completed during the mapping and inspection?

Inventory attributes include pipe material, pipe size, notes. Inspections include material, size, sediment, debris, field observations, condition, next steps. The City desires all applicable fields to be completed.

- 13) Who prioritizes and determines the footage of CCTV required?

The contractor will recommend pipe segments that cannot be viewed with a pole camera, and these will be reviewed and approved by the City prior to videoing.

- 14) Would a USB drive be acceptable for the electronic copy submittal?

Yes, that is the preferred method.

15) Please provide or clarify Appendix N form or other Appendix associated with this project to assess the full scope of work. RFP Item 5. As part of the assessment, all city owned flood management projects (such as detention ponds and retention ponds) shall be evaluated on the prescribed form (Appendix N) to determine if retrofitting the devices for additional pollutant removal is feasible.

The missing appendix has been posted with these answers. For further clarity, there are approximately eight city-owned detention ponds to be evaluated with Appendix N.

16) Are there any forms required as part of the proposal submission?

No, any easily understood format is acceptable.

17) Are the field crew members and Data Analysts reviewing the inspection data required to be NASSCO PACP certified, since pipes will be coded with PACP standards?

Certification is not required but will be considered when evaluating each firm's approach.

18) Will the City provide a location to dump dirt and debris cleaned from the storm sewer lines in preparation for CCTV?

No

19) Will the City provide water for cleaning from nearby fire hydrants?

The local water system belongs to Cobb County. They will rent fire hydrant meters for such uses.

20) Does the City have an Asset Management software program to use the resulting data with?

No